Advanced Object-Oriented Design

# **Subclassing vs. Subtyping**

S.Ducasse, L. Fabresse, G. Polito, and P. Tesone



http://www.pharo.org



### Goals

- Discuss the relation between the API of a class and its subclasses
- Discuss the relation between the **API** of a class and its **clients**
- Compare subtyping & subclassing
- Impact on design
- Subtyping is good even in dynamically-typed languages



## **Example 1**

```
class Poem extends LinkedList
{
    ...
}
```

What do you think about it?

- Yes, we can write this code
- What do you think of it? Does it make sense?

A poem API

- is addWord(word), isAlexandrin(), isHaiku(), ...
- **should not contain** addBeforeLink(aLinkOrObject, otherLink) **(that is part of** LinkedList**)**



## **Another example**

```
class Stack extends LinkedList {
....
```

What do you think about it?

- Yes, we can write this code.
- What do you think of it? Does it make sense?

A Stack API

- is pop(),push(el), top(), isEmpty()
- should not contain LinkedList methods.



## **Subclassing**

The two previous examples are examples of subclassing, e.g., a subclass does not have an API in relation with its superclass. It reuses the superclass code.



## Subtyping/subclassing and type systems

Did you notice previous code snippets were in Java tiny syntax... because:

- You can use subtyping and subclassing in dynamically-typed languages
- You can use subtyping and subclassing in statically-typed languages

The compiler's type checker does not check such a point

• It just checks that we can put squares into squares



## Let us study a simple example

Basic Stack:

>>> s push: 12. >>> s push: 24. >>> s top >>> s pop 24 >>> s isEmpty false



## **Stack as subclass of OrderedCollection**

OrderedCollection << Stack

Stack >> pop ^ self removeFirst

Stack >> push: anObject
 self addFirst: anObject

Stack >> top ^ self first

We get size, includes:, do:, collect: for free.





- What do we do with the rest of the OrderedCollection API?
- Our Stack also understands: add:beforeIndex:, addAllFirstUnlessAlreadyPresent:, join:...
- A Stack is not an OrderedCollection!
- In a client program we cannot replace an OrderedCollection by a Stack





Some messages that make sense on the class OrderedCollection do not make sense on the class Stack

OrderedCollection new add: newObject beforeIndex: index

OrderedCollection new add: newObject ; removeFirst



## We could cancel some operations

Stack >> removeFirst self error



## And get a convoluted pop?

Remember:

Stack >> pop ^ self removeFirst

Jumping over cancelled operation :(

Stack >> pop ^ super removeFirst

- Ugly
- Complexify the solution
- Complexify the evolution





- There is not a simple relationship between Stack and OrderedCollection APIs.
- Stack interface is not an extension nor a subset of OrderedCollection interface.



## Imagine CountingStack

CountingStack >> pop operations := operations + 1. ^ super pop

CountingStack >> push: anElement operations := operations + 1. ^ super push: anElement



### **Compare the two uses**





## **Compare the two replacements**







### **Back to Stack**

Better use composition! A Stack holds a collection of elements

Object << Stack slots: {#elements}

Stack >> push: anElement elements addFirst: anElement

Stack >> pop
 ^ element ifNotEmpty: [ element removeFirst ]



## **Subclassing inheritance**

- Inheritance for code reuse
- Subclass reuses code from superclass, but as a different specification
- It cannot be used everywhere its superclass is used. Usually overrides of code **Cons:**
- Lowers understanding
- Hampers future evolution
- Forces strange code



## **Subtyping inheritance**

- Reuse of specifications: interface inheritance
- A subclass refines superclass specifications
- A program that works with Numbers should 'work' with Fractions
- A program that works with Collections should 'work' with Arrays



## **Subclasses must not cancel methods**

Stack >> removeFirst self error

This is a sign of a bad design decision

- Cheap
- But you will pay later



### RestrictedStack

Imagine that we have a stack where we can only push elements smaller than the top elements

```
push: anElement
  self top < anElement
    ifTrue: [^ self ]
    super push: anElement</pre>
```

What is the good superclass?

- Stack Probably.
- It would be better if the client handles this behavior, but maybe it is not mandatory or possible.
- A subclass does not have to make sure that the client program works (this is behavioral subtyping )



## **About Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP)**

'if for each object o1 of type S there is another object o2 of type T such that for all programs P defined in terms of T, the behavior of P is unchanged when o1 is substituted for o2, then S is a subtype of T.' Barbara Liskov, "Data Abstraction and Hierarchy," SIGPLAN Notices, 23,5 (May 1988)

- LSP is about behavioral typing (about the same behavior)
- Most of the time when you define subclass to change behavior
- By definition, a subclass often exhibits a slightly different behavior than its superclass
- Therefore LSP looks useless in such a context.



## Inheritance and polymorphism

- Polymorphism works best with conforming/substituable interfaces
- Subtyping inheritance creates families of classes with similar interfaces
  - An abstract class describes an interface fulfilled by its subclasses
- Subtyping inheritance helps software reuse by creating polymorphic objects
- Now classes in different hierarchies implementing the same interface can also **be substituable**



## 'extend' one term for two concepts

- We only have one extend or subclass: construct in programming language
- Still you can express a **subtype** or **subclass** relationship between a class and its subclass.
- Subclassing/subtyping is not related to static typing



### Conclusion

- Subclassing is about program specification reuse
- Subtyping is about creating family of classes sharing common API
- Avoid subclassing: it is a bad idea when you want subtyping



Produced as part of the course on http://www.fun-mooc.fr

#### Advanced Object-Oriented Design and Development with Pharo

#### A course by S.Ducasse, L. Fabresse, G. Polito, and P. Tesone







Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 France https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/fr/